Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/053,697	MATSUI ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**
	Igor Borissov	3639

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Igor Borissov*.   (3)_____.

(2) *Cameron W. Beddard*.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *30 June 2005*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1-14*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Frankland and Fasca*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *During the interview the possible amendments to the Claims were discussed. Specifically, amendments were discussed to tie the functional language to the structural features of the claims in a manner sufficient for the Examiner to accord patentable weight to the functional language.* .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required